## Appendix 2 - Q2 Corporate Risk Register 2016/17

| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{\mathbb{4}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | High | 4. Insufficient capacity to deliver Moorlife 2020 <br> 13. Impact of EU exit vote <br> 5. Debt from final Moorlife claim not met (REMOVE) <br> 9. Fail to design organisation with skills and capabilitv to | 1. No common understanding of aims for White Peak <br> 8. Fail to develop integrated strategic commercial plan <br> 10. Not support staff through change <br> 2. Not submitting SWP bid | 7. Fail to inspire people to give to a NPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medium | 11. Fail to increase ownership and understanding of our policies among stakeholders <br> 3. Adverse exchange rate Moorlife 2020 funding | 14. Fail to deliver against performance and business plan | 6. Reduced area of land in agrienvironment schemes |
|  | Low |  |  |  |
|  |  | Low | Medium | High |
|  |  | LIKELIHOOD |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \underline{E} \end{aligned}$ | High | AMBER <br> (closely monitor) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) | RED <br> (significant focus and attention) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Med | GREEN <br> (accept but monitor) | AMBER <br> (management effort worthwhile) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) |
|  | Low | GREEN <br> (accept) | GREEN (accept/ review periodically) | GREEN <br> (accept but monitor) |
|  |  | Low | Med Likelihood | High |

## Corporate Risk Register: list of risks

1. Failure to create a common understanding of what we want to achieve in the White Peak
2. Failure to submit a quality, funded bid for the South West Peak project (REMOVE from register)
3. Adverse exchange rate movements for Moorlife 2020 European funding
4. Insufficient capacity to deliver Moorlife 2020 programme
5. Outstanding debt from final Moorlife claim is not met (REMOVE from register)
6. Area of NP land safeguarded in agri-environment schemes reduces because of new Rural Development Programme for England (RDPE) implications
7. Failure to inspire people to give to a National Park Authority
8. Failure to develop an integrated strategic commercial plan
9. Failure to design the organisation so it has the skills and capability to deliver
10. Failure to support staff going through a time of change
11. Failure to engage in a way that increases ownership and understanding of our policies amongst communities and decision makers
12. Failure to effectively manage the impact of changes resulting from the EU exit vote in terms of:
a. Euro funding for Moorlife 2020
b. UK government funding
c. Policy and legislation changes
d. Partnership funding position
13. Failure to deliver against our Performance and Business Plan in a time of structural change
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| High | AMBER (closely monitor) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) | RED (significant focus and attention) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Med | GREEN (accept but monitor) | AMBER <br> (management effort worthwhile) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) |
| Low | GREEN (accept) | GREEN (accept/ review periodically) | GREEN <br> (accept but monitor) |
|  | Low | Med <br> Likelihood | High |


| Corp. <br> Strat. <br> Ref. | Risk Description | Existing controls | Risk rating before mitigation Lx I | Mitigating action | Risk rating with mitigating action L x I ( Green, Amber or Red) |  |  |  |  |  | Timeframe of mitigating actions | Lead officer | How monitor/ indicator | Quarterly update |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| S1 The Place and the Park on a Landscape scale | 2. Failure to submit a quality, funded bid for South West Peak project | Program me Board monitoring progress | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{H} \\ & \text { RED } \end{aligned}$ | a. Funding strategy being developed. <br> b. Internal project team established. | U O O $\underline{\underline{I}}$ | $\frac{\frac{5}{.00}}{\underline{10}}$ |  |  |  |  | a. End June 2016 | JRS <br> (Direct or of Conser | RMT | Bid was submitted on $22^{\text {nd }}$ July. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\frac{5}{.00}}{\underline{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \\ & \frac{1}{\overline{0}} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  | b. End May 2016 | and <br> Planni <br> ng) |  | RISK, REMOVE <br> FROM REGISTER |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { ® }}{\underset{\sim}{8}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| UOn을$\underline{E}$ | High | AMBER <br> (closely monitor) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) | RED <br> (significant focus and attention) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Med | GREEN <br> (accept but monitor) | AMBER <br> (management effort worthwhile) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) |
|  | Low | GREEN <br> (accept) | GREEN (accept/ review periodically) | GREEN <br> (accept but monitor) |
|  |  | Low | Med <br> Likelihood | High |


| Corp. <br> Strat. <br> Ref. | Risk Description | Existing controls | Risk rating before mitigation LxI | Mitigating action | Risk rating with mitigating action L x I ( Green, Amber or Red) |  |  |  |  |  | Timeframe of mitigating actions | Lead officer | How monitor/ indicator | Quarterly update |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| S1 The Place and the Park on a Landscape scale | 3. Adverse exchange rate movements for Moorlife 2020 European funding | None | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{H} \\ & \text { RED } \end{aligned}$ | a. Hedging arrangement to be put in place if we can. <br> b. Cap on sterling budget with appropriate output adjustments agreed. |  | $\frac{\text { Con }}{\frac{1000}{I}}$ | 3 | $\frac{\underline{y}}{\underline{=}}$ |  |  | a. Continuous assessment <br> b. End Q2 <br> detailed <br> budget breakdown | PN <br> (Chief <br> Financ <br> Officer <br> ) | Chief <br> Finance <br> Officer <br> Budget monitoring Group <br> ARP | This risk has been reassessed since Q1, and the impact amended accordingly. <br> Request for detailed budget made to budget manager. <br> Full sterling cap confirmed to budget manager as necessary. Received 30\% upfront funding. Hedging arrangement under consideration. If current sterling weakness continues, the risk remains static |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varepsilon}{\overline{2}} \\ & \frac{1}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{\mathbb{C}}^{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{u}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{*}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { 2 }}{\text { 2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| High | AMBER （closely monitor） | AMBER <br> （manage and monitor） | RED （significant focus and attention） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Med | GREEN （accept but monitor） | AMBER <br> （management effort worthwhile） | AMBER <br> （manage and monitor） |
| Low | GREEN （accept） | GREEN （accept／review periodically） | GREEN <br> （accept but monitor） |
|  | Low | Med <br> Likelihood | High |


| Corp． Strat． Ref． | Risk Description | Existing controls | Risk rating before mitigation LxI | Mitigating action | Risk rating with mitigating action L x I（ Green，Amber or Red） |  |  |  |  |  | Timeframe of mitigating actions | Lead officer | How monitor／ indicator | Quarterly update |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| S1 The <br> Place and the Park on a Land－ scape scale | 5．Outstanding debt from final Moorlife claim is not met | Contract in place | LxH <br> AMBER： closely monitor | a．Immediate attention being given to answering questions from European office． | せ $\underline{\tilde{0}}$ $\underline{\underline{a}}$ <br>  <br>  |  | $\frac{\text { ᄃ }}{\frac{000}{I}}$ <br> 3 <br> 足 <br> $\sum_{<}^{\infty}$ |  |  |  | a．End Jun ＇16 | JRS <br> （Direct or of <br> Conser <br> vation <br> and <br> Planni <br> ng ） | CFO and Director Conservat ion and Planning | The debt has been paid． <br> NO LONGER A RISK，REMOVE FROM REGISTER |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{U}{0} \\ & \underline{0} \\ & \underline{\xi} \end{aligned}$ | High | AMBER <br> (closely monitor) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) | RED <br> (significant focus and attention) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Med | GREEN (accept but monitor) | AMBER (management effort worthwhile) | AMBER <br> (manage and monitor) |
|  | Low | GREEN (accept) | GREEN (accept/ review periodically) | GREEN <br> (accept but monitor) |
|  |  | Low | Med Likelihood | High |


| Corp. <br> Strat. <br> Ref. | Risk Description | Existing controls | Risk rating before mitigation Lx I | Mitigating action | Risk rating with mitigating action L x I (Green, Amber or Red) |  |  |  |  |  | Timeframe of mitigating actions | Lead officer | How monitor/ indicator | Quarterly update |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| S2 <br> Connect ing people to the place | 7. Failure to inspire people to give to a National Park Authority | Approac <br> $h$ to <br> giving <br> approve <br> d by the <br> Authorit <br> $y$. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{H} \\ & \text { RED } \end{aligned}$ | a. <br> Organisation design to provide appropriate capabilities underway |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 気 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  | a. Dec '16 | SM <br> (Direct or of Comm ercial Develo pment and Outrea ch) | RMT | New structure with key roles agreed, but risk remains until posts are filled. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 気 }}{\text { - }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{u}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { ㄹ } \\ & \underline{E} \end{aligned}$ | High | AMBER <br> （closely monitor） | AMBER <br> （manage and monitor） | RED <br> （significant focus and attention） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Med | GREEN <br> （accept but monitor） | AMBER <br> （management effort worthwhile） | AMBER <br> （manage and monitor） |
|  | Low | GREEN <br> （accept） | GREEN （accept／review periodically） | GREEN <br> （accept but monitor） |
|  |  | Low | Med Likelihood | High |


| Corp． <br> Strat． <br> Ref． | Risk Description | Existing controls | Risk rating before mitigation LxI | Mitigating action | Risk rating with mitigating action <br> L x I（ Green，Amber or Red） |  |  |  |  |  | Timeframe of mitigating actions | Lead officer | How monitor／ indicator | Quarterly update |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Start | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| C1 Our people | 9．Failure to design the organisation so it has the skills and capability to deliver |  | $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{H}$ <br> AMBER： <br> manage <br> and <br> monitor | a．Design principles drafted for consultation． <br> b．Part of investment discussions． <br> c．Timetable outlined． |  | $\frac{\text { 葆 }}{\text { I }}$ | $\frac{\frac{5}{.00}}{\underline{10}}$ | $\frac{\text { 品 }}{\underline{10}}$ |  |  | a．End July 2016 | RMM <br> （Direct or of Corpor | SLT <br> Staff <br> Committe | a．Proposals for a new Head of Service and team manager structure |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{I}} \\ & \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  |  | b．End <br> October <br> 2016 | Strate <br> gy and Develo pment | UNISON／ <br> MT <br> discussion | tier along with a new team structure for the strategy and performance |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{\lll}^{\sim}$ | $\sum_{\mathbb{《}}^{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | b．Implementation of the new structure will take place in quarter 3 |

